Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/773,955	PEARSON, ANTHONY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nabil M. El-Hady	2154
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Nabil M. El-Hady.	(3)	
(2) Wayne L. Ellenbogen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2005.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10, and 18.		
Identification of prior art discussed: 2002/0078154, 6081830, 6647270.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>agreement is reached to amend claims 1, a0, and 18 as per examiner's amendement in order to avoid prior art limitations and to place the application in conditon for allowance</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required